

Update on Progress of the NewKILO Joint Research Project



within EURAMET and the European Union

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries



EURAMET NewKILO JRP

1

<u>9</u>KIII Implementing the new realisation

To implement the redefinition there is a requirement to develop a practical means to link the realisation experiments to the SI and to allow maintenance and traceability of the mass scale following redefinition.

3 key aspects:

- Provide a means of accurately fixing the Planck (and Avogadro) constant with reference to the International Prototype Kilogram (IPK)
- Allow dissemination of the new realisation at the level of the NMIs should be achieved with uncertainty contributions smaller than the required (relative) uncertainty of the realisation (2 in 10^{-8})
- Provide a means of maintaining the standard between realisations (WB and Avogadro Key Comparison)









European Metrology Research Programme oramme of EURAMET The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries

ithin EURAMET and the European Unio

EXILO Implementation - key areas of research

- Next generation mass standards (watt balance and vacuum compatible, optimised for stability)
- Procedures for air/vacuum transfer to optimise the repeatability of the process
- Characterisation of the surface of mass standards to understand dynamic sorption mechanisms and effects of cleaning and storage in various media
- Develop apparatus and optimise procedures for the storage, cleaning and transportation of primary mass standards







within EURAMET and the European Unio



Programme of EURAMET
The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries

- New mass standards and materials
 - Nickel-superalloy and (single crystal) tungsten have good properties and are being evaluated as new materials for weights



within EURAMET and the European Union

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries



EXILO Comparison of materials for new standards

| Material | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|-------------------------|--|---|
| Platinum-iridium | Well characterised material Easy to machine | Expensive Relatively high magnetic permeability |
| Stainless steel | Well characterised material Used for the majority of current weights | High magnetic permeability Complex alloys - Surfaces difficult to analyse |
| Silicon | Excellent surface finish achievable Natural silicon readily available Very low magnetic permeability | Low density so must be weighed in vacuum Potential static issues |
| Gold alloy | Good magnetic properties Easy to machine Dense | Low relative hardness Samples analysed showed anomalous sorption characteristics |
| Iridium | Hard Good magnetic properties Dense | Difficult to machine Expensive |
| Nickel super alloy | Similar density to stainless steel Hard Good magnetic properties | Relatively expensive and difficult to obtain |
| Single crystal tungsten | Excellent magnetic properties Density similar to that of Pt-Ir | Difficult to manufacture artefacts High quality crystals of suitable size expensive and difficult to obtain |
| Plated copper | Excellent magnetic properties Easy to manufacture Similar density to stainless steel | Quality of artefacts relies on good coating process and material Au – soft, Rh – show inclusions form polishing |



within EURAMET and the European Union

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries

 $\langle 0 \rangle$

- New mass standards and materials
 - Nickel-superalloy and (single crystal) tungsten have good properties and are being evaluated as new materials for weights
 - Test completed on suitable materials to support mass standards during storage, transport and weighing (PEEK best)



within EURAMET and the European Union

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries



EXILO Materials for weight support and storage

• Titanium good multiple weight application (e.g. weighing pan)



PTFE



Aluminium



• PEEK most suitable for mass support in storage containers





The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries within EURAMET and the European Union

- New mass standards and materials
 - Nickel-superalloy and (single crystal) tungsten have good properties and are being evaluated as new materials for weights
 - Test completed on suitable materials to support mass standards during storage, transport and weighing (PEEK best)
- Air/vacuum transfer procedures
 - No mass change below a vacuum pressure of 0.1 Pa



within EURAMET and the European Union

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries



Sorption/Pressure correlation





EURAMET NewKILO JRP

- New mass standards and materials
 - Nickel-superalloy and (single crystal) tungsten have good properties and are being evaluated as new materials for weights
 - Test completed on suitable materials to support mass standards during storage, transport and weighing (PEEK best)
- Air/vacuum transfer procedures
 - No mass change below a vacuum pressure of 0.1 Pa
- Storage and transfer of standards
 - Storage in argon shows improved stability over storage in air



within EURAMET and the European Union

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries

EXILO Mass storage

- Silicon and SS weights stored in air or inert gas. Mass measurements made in vacuum.
- Artefacts stored in air show increase in mass due to surface contamination.
- Artefacts in argon show slight decrease in mass.
- Care with handling and transfer of artefacts (to balance) is critical in maintaining stability.

11



EUROPEAN Metrology Research Programme Programme of EURAMET

EURAMET NewKILO JRP

- New mass standards and materials
 - Nickel-superalloy and (single crystal) tungsten have good properties and are being evaluated as new materials for weights
 - Test completed on suitable materials to support mass standards during storage, transport and weighing (PEEK best)
- Air/vacuum transfer procedures
 - No mass change below a vacuum pressure of 0.1 Pa
- Storage and transfer of standards
 - Storage in argon shows improved stability over storage in air
- Cleaning
 - UV/Ozone and Plasma techniques are viable alternatives to nettoyage-lavage
 - Exposure to vacuum following cleaning shows increased contamination compared with air or nitrogen



SKITO

New cleaning techniques for mass standards

- Traditional cleaning is generally by nettoyagelavage at the BIPM or solvent (manual or ultrasonic) at NMIs
- New techniques using UV activated ozone and low pressure (H₂ and O₂) plasma have been developed
- These techniques are non-contact therefore less user dependent and more controllable than manual methods
- Parallel evaluation of 3 cleaning techniques UV/Ozone, Hydrogen plasma and BIPM method has been undertaken (gravimetrically and using surface analysis)
- Subsequent storage conditions (air, vacuum and nitrogen, 10 days) have been evaluated to characterise recontamination











EXELO Cleaning and storage – Silicon







- BIPM cleaning method either leaves more contamination on the surface or contamination forms rapidly on the surface after cleaning (before measurement)
- Vacuum stored samples gained most contamination after cleaning



within EURAMET and the European Unior

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries

 $\langle 0 \rangle$

EXELO Cleaning and storage – Ni Alloy







- BIPM cleaning method either leaves more contamination on the surface or contamination forms rapidly on the surface after cleaning (before measurement
- Vacuum stored samples gained most contamination after cleaning



within EURAMET and the European Unior

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries

1.1



Impact of the kilogram redefinition

- Realisation can be via watt balance or Avogadro experiments thus the unit of mass can theoretically be established by any NMI
- Initially the best uncertainty of realisation will be 2 in 10⁸ (compared with zero currently)
- Procedures must be in place initially to link the realisation experiments with IPK and subsequently to disseminate the unit to end users
- Additional uncertainty in this dissemination (from vacuum to air) needs to be small to minimise the increase in CMCs available to users - currently 15 µg for 1 kg (k = 1)
- Result minimal impact for end users











A collaboration research project between 11 EURAMET NMIs

- NPL, CMI, CNAM, DFM, EJPD, LNE, MGRT, MIKES, PTB, SMU, TUBITAK
- INRIM, NRC
- BIPM, KRISS, Häfner, Mettler-Toledo, Sartorius
- TU-Ilmenau, IPQ, IMBiH

www.newkilo.sk

Thank you for your attention



EMRP European Metrology Research Programme Programme of EURAMET



EURAMET NewKILO JRP